

56 Prospect Street Hartford, CT 06103

Steven J. Allen Eversource, ISO-NE Coordination phone: 860-728-4536 email: steven.allen@eversource.com

June 27, 2022

Ms. Emily Laine Chair, NEPOOL Reliability Committee ISO New England, Inc. One Sullivan Road Holyoke, MA 01040-2841

Dear Ms. Laine,

In accordance with Schedule 12C of the ISO New England ("ISO-NE") Transmission, Markets & Services Tariff ("ISO-NE Tariff"), Eversource Energy Service Company ("Eversource") hereby submits the attached Transmission Cost Allocation ("TCA") application(s) reporting cost support information associated with the construction, retirement, or modification to facilities rated 69 kV and above that qualify as regional Pool Transmission Facilities ("PTF") for the following Eversource project:

# ES-22-TCA-20 Webster-Beebe River 115-kV Corridor Asset Condition and OPGW Project – E115 Line (Pemigewasset substation – Huckins Hill substation)

Eversource is requesting that ISO-NE submit this TCA to the NEPOOL Reliability Committee for review, in accordance with ISO-NE Planning Procedure No. 4 ("PP-4").

If you have any questions, I can be reached via the information listed above.

Sincerely,

Steven J. Allen

Steven J. Allen

cc: M. Drzewianowski

			<u>Attach</u> TCA Appli	<u>nment B</u> ication Form			
1. Applicant:				Application #:	ES-22-TCA-20	Date:	Jun-22
Contact Name:		Steven J. Allen		_			
Company Name:		Eversource Energy Service Company		_			
Address 1:		56 Prospect Street		_			
Address 2:				RSP Project ID # or			
City, State, Zip		Hartford, CT 06103		_Asset Condition ID #	280	_	
Email Address		860-728-4536		Is Project related to CIP-14	V		
Email Address	•	steven.allen@eversource.com		Yes No	X		
2. Project Description:						In Service Date:	Dec-23
	a.	High Level Project Details:					
		Project Name ( If no formal name, then Substation Upgrac	le, Line Upgrad	le, etc. are acceptable):	Webster-Beebe Riv OPGW Project - E	ver 115-kV Corridor Asset Co 115 Line	ndition and
		Project Location (State only):	State:	NH	County:	Belknap, Grafton	1
	h	Summary of PTE-related work for Project				• /	
	OPG Final	W installation as well as deficiencies such as rot, splits, c project cost details will be known following closeout of Summary of Non-PTF-related work for Project:	racks, woodpo all project wo	ecker damage and deteriorating ste	el components.		
3. Was a transmission Pr	roposed	Plan Application required for this work?		Yes X No		PPA Number: ES-21-T4	14
4. Has a transmission Pr If yes, attach a copy an	oposed nd refer	Plan Application been approved? ence Proposed Plan Application # and approval date.		Yes X No (Please check only one)	N/A	Approval Date: July 15, 3	2021
Need For Project:							
5. Need Based On (Cheo	k all C	ategories that apply):					
`	a.	Reliability		X			
	b.	Economic		$\square$			
	c.	Service to new load					
	d	New generator interconnection					
	u.	Generator Droposed Dian Application Number					
		Generator Proposed Plan Application Number	-				
uly 7,2017			Pa ISO-NI	ge 1 E Public			

e.     Public Policy Transmission Upgrade (PPTU)       f.     Market Efficiency Transmission Upgrade (METU)
f. Market Efficiency Transmission Upgrade (METU)
g. Asset Condition X
h. Other (specify in line 6)

6. Provide a narrative description of the need for this Project.

(Include available documentation relative to the need for this Project. )

The existing 3#6 copperweld shield wires and 336 ACSR conductor on the E115 Line are obsolete and susceptible to failure due to thermal rating degradation as well as environmental factors. The technology for parts and repair of this shield wire are obsolete and no longer manufactured and no longer an Eversource standard material. Replacing the conductor, shield wire and structures remediates the potential for structure/equipment failures due to asset condition vulnerabilities. To ensure the continued operability of this line, the identified structures need to be replaced.

## **Cost of Project:**

7. Total Project Cost ( <u>\$M</u> ) equals PTF + Non-PTF + all other Project Costs:	\$64.147	
8. Total Proposed PTF Costs		-
a. Total Proposed PTF Cost of this Project (\$M):	\$64.147	
b. Requested Pool-Supported PTF Costs associated with this Project (\$M):	\$64.147	_
c. Breakdown of Requested Pool-Supported PTF Cost associated with this Project (\$M): (Consistent with Table 1 and Appendix D of this Procedure)		_
Material	\$8.025	
Labor	\$31.010	_
ROW	\$0.000	_
Engineering/Permitting/Indirects	\$17.472	_
Escalation	\$0.000	_
AFUDC (or equivalent)	\$2.909	_
Contingency	\$4.731	_
d. Generator Supported PTF Costs* (\$M):	\$0.000	=
If the costs in 8.b. plus 8.d. do not equal the total proposed PTF cost (8.a) explain and indicate who is responsible for the remaining costs.		
9. Total Proposed Non-PTF Cost of this Project (\$M):	\$0.000	_
<ol> <li>Proposed PTF Costs (\$M) introduced as a result of local, state or other regulatory/legislative requirements, including costs identified pursuant to Section 1.6.3 of this PP-4.</li> </ol>	\$0.000	_
a. Description of Proposed PTF Cost introduced as a result of local, state or other regulatory/legislative requirements as defined in question 8 above.		
<ol> <li>All other Project Costs not captured in PTF Costs (8) or Non-PTF Costs (9) (\$M) associated with this Project:</li> </ol>	\$0.000	_
12. Total PTF Cost based on: (check one) Actual Costs OR Estimated Costs* X		
13. Valuation Year(s) of dollar amounts submitted above: <u>2022</u>		
14. If applicable, explain how the cost of common facilities were allocated between PTF and Non-PTF.		
15. Does this Project result in a change of existing Non-PTF facilities to PTF?	Yes	No X

16. Describe the major transmission alternatives, and their costs consistent with the breakdown provided in item 7 of this Application, that were considered. Provided an explanation why the preferred alternative was selected.

(Include available documentation relative to the major transmission alternatives analysis and selection.)

### Alternative:

- Do nothing but for the reasons noted in 6 above is not acceptable
- Replace only high priority structures. This was not considered a viable alternative as it does not address the need to replace obsolete copper materials, would require continuous re-entry into the right-of-way to address future structure replacement needs of remaining structures and is not cost effective.

#### Preferred:

Rebuild the E115 115-kV Line. This is the preferred alternative. The existing copperweld shield is obsolete and susceptible to failure due to thermal rating degradation of the conductor, as well as degradation due to environmental factors. A rebuild of this line mitigates structure loading and/or clearance concerns with existing conductor and shield wire, is cost effective by taking advantage of access road and construction cost efficiencies available due to the scope of the project and addresses asset condition concerns of structures as a result of age and degradation of structures.

17. Has state and local siting been completed? If yes, explain the siting process and any provisions that were made during siting, provide docket or siting reference numbers. If no, then explain when siting is expected to be completed and any provisions that have been agreed to.

No unusual Siting or permitting was required for this project.

\* Pool-Supported PTF costs were determined pursuant to Schedule 11 of Section II of the Tariff.

## **PROJECT COST ESTIMATE & SCHEDULE SHEET**

RSP Project #: 280

Transmission Owner: Public Service Company of New Hampshire

Project Name: Webster-Beebe River 115-kV Corridor Asset Condition and OPGW Project - E115 Line (Pemigewasset substation - Huckins Hill

Date: Jun-22

substation)

#### 1. Project Scope Summary

This project will replace 176 wooden structures with self-weathering steel structures , replace approximately 14.88 circuit miles of aging 336 ACSR conductor with new 1272 ACSS conductor and approximately 14.94 miles of two (2) aging 3#6 copperweld static wires with new two (2) new 48F 0.646" Optical Ground Wire (OPGW) on the E115 115kV Line (Pemigewasset substation - Huckins Hill substation). The structures are being replaced due to issues associated with load from the OPGW installation as well as deficiencies such as rot, splits, cracks, woodpecker damage and deteriorating steel components.

#### 2. Project Cost Summary

#### (\$M)

2.1. Project Cost Summary													
Cost Category	PTF		Non-PTF		Total								
Material	\$	8.025	\$	-	\$	8.025							
Labor & Equipment	\$	31.010	\$	-	\$	31.010							
Right of Way	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-							
Engineering/Permitting /Indirects	\$	17.472	\$	-	\$	17.472							
Escalation	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-							
AFUDC	\$	2.909	\$	-	\$	2.909							
Contingency	\$	4.731	\$	-	\$	4.731							
Total Project Cost	\$	64.147	\$	-	\$	64.147							



2.2 Detailed Cost Summary By Project Element														
	Material	Labor & Equipment	Right of Way	Engineering/ Permitting/ Indirects	Escalation	AFUDC	Contingency	Total	PTF Amount					
Webster-Beebe River 115-kV Corridor Asset Condition and OPGW Project - E115 Line (Pemigewasset substation - Huckins Hill substation)	\$ 8.025	\$ 31.010	\$-	\$ 17.472	\$-	\$ 2.909	\$ 4.731	\$ 64.147	\$ 64.147					
Total	\$ 8.025	\$ 31.010	\$-	\$ 17.472	\$-	\$ 2.909	\$ 4.731	\$ 64.147	\$ 64.147					

#### 3. Project Milestone Schedule

				2020		2020		2021			2022			2023			1	2024			20:			2025			26	
			Qtr1	Qtr2	2 Qtr3	Qtr4	Qtr1	Qtr2 Q	tr3 Qt	r4 Qt	1 Qtr	2 Qtr3	Qtr4	Qtr1	Qtr2	Qtr3 Qtr	4 Qtr1	Qtr2	Qtr3	3 Qtr4	Qtr1	Qtr2	Qtr3	Qtr4	Qtr1	Qtr2	Qtr3	Qtr4
Description			S	iting	j & P	erm	ittin	g																				
Approval and Permits	12/16/2020	7/5/2022				-																						
			E	ngir	neeri	ng																						
Engineering and Design	9/23/2020	2/24/2022																										
			M	later	ial																							
											_																	
Material	2/28/2022	10/27/2022											<b>-</b>															
			С	ons	truct	tion																						
											_																	_
Construction	7/18/2022	12/31/2023			_																						_	
			Qtr1	Qtr2	Qtr3	Qtr4	Qtr1	Qtr2 Q	tr3 Qt	r4 Qt	1 Qtr	2 Qtr3	Qtr4	Qtr1	Qtr2	Qtr3 Qtr	4 Qtr1	Qtr2	Qtr:	3 Qtr4	Qtr1	Qtr2	Qtr3	Qtr4	Qtr1	Qtr2	Qtr3	Qtr4
			2020		2021				2022			2023			2024				2025				2026					

<u>TCA</u>	<u>RSP:</u>	<u>Study:</u>	F	PPA Application:	PAC/RC Meeting:	TCA Appli	cation (\$Ms):		
Item	Project ID #	Reliability Issues Requiring	PPA No.	Preferred Solution	Presentation	PTF	Non-PTF		
		Action		Description	<u>Reference</u>	<u>Estimate</u>	<u>Estimate</u>		
ES-22-TCA-20	<u>280</u>	n/a	ES-21-T44,	Replace 14.88 miles of existing 336 ACSR conductor with new 1272 ACSS conductor, approximately 14.94 miles of two 3#6 copperweld static wire with two 48F 0.646" OPGW and 176 wood structures with steel strucures including hardward, insulators and guys.	Per PAC Presentation 12/16/2020 RC - PPA approval received 7/15/2021	\$ 64.147 \$ 64.147	\$ -		

SUBTOTAL