



56 Prospect Street
Hartford, CT 06103

Steven J. Allen
Eversource ISO Policy and Economic Analysis
phone: 860-728-4536
email: steven.allen@eversource.com

November 14, 2022

Ms. Emily Laine
Chair, NEPOOL Reliability Committee
ISO New England, Inc.
One Sullivan Road
Holyoke, MA 01040-2841

Dear Ms. Laine,

In accordance with Schedule 12C of the ISO New England ("ISO-NE") Transmission, Markets & Services Tariff ("ISO-NE Tariff"), Eversource Energy Service Company ("Eversource") hereby submits the attached Transmission Cost Allocation ("TCA") application(s) reporting cost support information associated with the construction, retirement, or modification to facilities rated 69 kV and above that qualify as regional Pool Transmission Facilities ("PTF") for the following Eversource project:

ES-21-TCA-35-Rev1 330 345-kV Line Structure Replacement Project (Card substation to Killingly substation)

Eversource is requesting that ISO-NE submit this TCA to the NEPOOL Reliability Committee for review, in accordance with ISO-NE Planning Procedure No. 4 ("PP-4").

If you have any questions, I can be reached via the information listed above.

Sincerely,

Steven J. Allen

Steven J. Allen

cc: M. Drzewianowski

Attachment B	
TCA Application Revision Form	
<p>1. Applicant:</p> <p>Contact Name: <u>Steven J. Allen</u></p> <p>Company Name: <u>Eversource Energy Service Company</u></p> <p>Address 1: <u>56 Prospect Street</u></p> <p>Address 2: _____</p> <p>City, State, Zip: <u>Hartford, CT 06103</u></p> <p>Contact Phone #: <u>860-728-4536</u></p> <p>Email Address: <u>steven.allen@eversource.com</u></p>	<p>Revised Application #: <u>ES-21-TCA-35-Rev1</u> Date: <u>Nov-22</u></p> <p>Original Application #: <u>ES-21-TCA-35</u> Date of Original Approval: <u>Not Received</u></p> <p>Include a copy of the prior Application. The revision was required because of:</p> <p>a) a material change in design <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>b) a cost increase greater than 10% <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>
<p>2. Project Description:</p> <p>a. High Level Project Details:</p> <p>Project Name (If no formal name, then Substation Upgrade, Line Upgrade, etc. are acceptable): 330 345-kV Line Structure Replacement Project (Card substation - Killingly substation)</p> <p>Project Location (State only): State: CT County: Tolland, Windham</p> <p>a. Summary of PTF-related work for Project:</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p>Transmission Engineering has identified 25 wood structures on the 330 345-kV Line (Card substation - Killingly substation) in need of replacement with steel pole structures. The structures have deficiencies such as: woodpecker damage, rot, cracks and deteriorated mechanics.</p> <p>Final project cost details will be known following closeout of all project work orders.</p> </div> <p>b. Summary of Non-PTF-related work for Project:</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 100px; width: 100%;"></div>	<p>In Service Date: <u>Dec-23</u></p>
<p>3. Was a revised transmission Proposed Plan Application required for this work? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>4. Has a revised transmission Proposed Plan Application been approved? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>If yes, attach a copy and reference Proposed Plan Application # and approval date. (Please check only one)</p>	<p>PPA Number: <u>N/A</u></p> <p>Approval Date: <u>N/A</u></p>
<p>Need For Project:</p> <p>5. Need Based On</p> <p>a. Reliability <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>b. Economic <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>c. Service to new load <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>d. New generator interconnection <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Generator Proposed Plan Application Number _____</p>	

- Generator Proposed Plan Application Date _____
(Attach copy of cover letter & Generator Proposed Plan Application)
- e. Public Policy Transmission Upgrade (PPTU)
 - f. Market Efficiency Transmission Upgrade (METU)
 - g. Asset Condition
 - h. Other (specify in line 6)

8. Provide a narrative description of the need for this Project.
(Include available documentation relative to the need for revisions to this Project. Explain the cost and/or material change differences.)

Replacing these structures remediates the potential for structure failures due to asset condition vulnerabilities. To ensure the continued operability of this line segment, the identified structures in this line section need to be replaced.

Increased costs were the result of extensive matting required to support the phase one of construction to mitigate environmental disturbances and wetland mitigation. This level of matting was not planned for in the original construction budget.

Revised Cost of Project:

	Original Application	Revision to Original Application
10. Total Project Cost (\$M) equals PTF + Non-PTF + all other Project Costs:	\$8.039	\$10.248
11. Total Proposed PTF Costs		
a. Total Proposed PTF Cost of this Project (\$M):	\$8.039	\$10.248
b. Requested Pool-Supported PTF Costs associated with this Project (\$M):	\$8.039	\$10.248
c. Breakdown of Requested Pool-Supported PTF Cost associated with this Project (\$M): (Consistent with Table 1 and Appendix D of this Procedure)		
Material	\$1.170	\$1.170
Labor	\$3.838	\$6.594
ROW	\$0.000	\$0.000
Engineering/Permitting/Indirects	\$2.474	\$1.827
Escalation	\$0.000	\$0.000
AFUDC (or equivalent)	\$0.382	\$0.382
Contingency	\$0.175	\$0.275
d. Generator Supported PTF Costs* (\$M):	\$0.000	\$0.000

If the costs in 7.b. plus 7.d. do not equal the total proposed PTF cost (7.a) explain and indicate who is responsible for the remaining costs.

12. Total Proposed Non-PTF Cost of this Project (\$M):	\$0.000	\$0.000
13. Proposed PTF Costs (\$M) introduced as a result of local, state or other regulatory/legislative requirements, including costs identified pursuant to Section 1.6.3 of this PP-4.	\$0.000	\$0.000

a. Description of Proposed PTF Cost introduced as a result of local, state or other regulatory/legislative requirements as defined in question 8 above.

14. All other Project Costs not captured in PTF Costs (8) or Non-PTF Costs (9) Total Non-PTF Cost (\$M) associated with this Project:	<u>\$0.000</u>	<u>\$0.000</u>
15. Total PTF Cost based on: (check one)		
Actual Costs	<input type="checkbox"/>	
OR		
Estimated Costs*	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
16. Valuation Year of dollar amounts submitted above: _____ 2022 _____		

17. If applicable, explain how the cost of common facilities were allocated between PTF and Non-PTF.

18. Does this Project result in a change of existing Non-PTF facilities to PTF?

Yes

No

* Pool-Supported PTF costs were determined pursuant to Schedule 11 of Section II of the Tariff.

330 345-kV Line Structure Replacement Project Correlation Table
(Card substation - Killingly substation)

<u>TCA Item</u>	<u>RSP:</u> Project ID #	<u>Study:</u> Reliability Issues Requiring Action	<u>PPA Application:</u>		<u>PAC/RC Meeting:</u> Presentation Reference	<u>TCA Application (\$1,000s):</u>	
			<u>PPA No.</u>	<u>Preferred Solution Description</u>		<u>PTF Estimate</u>	<u>Non-PTF Estimate</u>
ES-21-TCA-35-Rev1	<u>263</u>	n/a	n/a	Replace 25 wood 345-kV structures with light-duty steel pole structures, including hardware, insulators, and guys.	ISO-NE PAC Presentation 01/21/2021 ISO-NE RC 12/14/2021	\$ 10,248	
				SUBTOTAL		\$ 10,248	\$ -